



# “Clinton Foundation Is Charity Fraud Of Epic Proportions”, Analyst Charges In Stunning Takedown

by admin

Print  
Share:

 [Follow on Facebook](#)  [Follow on Twitter](#)

In early May, we introduced readers to Charles Ortel, a Wall Street analyst who uncovered financial discrepancies at General Electric before its stock crashed in 2008, and whom the Sunday Times of London described as “one of the finest analysts of financial statements on the planet” in a 2009 story detailing the troubles at AIG. Having moved on beyond simple corporate fraud, Ortel spent the past year and a half digging into something more relevant to the current US situation: “charities”, and specifically the Clinton Foundation’s public records, federal and state-level tax filings, and donor disclosures.

Folks who watch Ortel on Jason Goodman’s CrowdSource the Truth weekly know the massive amount of investigative work and analysis he has dedicated to exposing the frauds at the Clinton Foundation.

Four months ago, Ortel began releasing his preliminary findings in the first of a series of up to 40 planned reports on his website. His allegation was simple: “this is a charity fraud.”

To learn more about the Clinton Foundation, Ortel decided to “take it apart and see how it worked” and he has been doing that ever since February 2015.

“I decided, as I did with GE, let’s pick one that’s complicated,” said Ortel. “The Clinton Foundation is complicated, but it’s really very small compared to GE.”

When Ortel tried to match up the Clinton Foundation's tax filings with the disclosure reports from its major donors, he said he started to find problems. That includes records from the foundation's many offshoots—including the Clinton Health Access Initiative and the Clinton Global Initiative—as well as its foreign subsidiaries.

"I decided it would be fun to cross-check what their donors thought they did when they donated to the Clinton Foundation, and that's when I got really irritated," he said. **"There are massive discrepancies between what some of the major donors say they gave to the Clinton Foundation to do, and what the Clinton Foundation said what they got from the donors and what they did with it."**

As **previously reported**, last year the Clinton Foundation was forced to issue corrected tax filings for several years to correct donation errors. But Ortel said many of the discrepancies remain. "I'm against charity fraud. I think people in both parties are against charity fraud, and this is a charity fraud," he said. **READ MORE;**

## **GOOGLE IS THE ISIS OF THE INTERNET**

By Carla Reed

Just look at an outline of just one example of a vast number of complaints against Google filed by hundreds of thousands of complainants:

*"... Our Main Issues With Google:*

*- Larry Page, Jared Cohen, Sergey Brin and Eric Schmidt have systematically stolen each and every patent, trade secret and business model from us, which we launched years prior, including the architecture and business model for YouTube and Google itself. The NY Times article exposes Page's idea-theft process.*

*- Google staffed and controlled the Obama White House and redirected our government funds to Google's, and Google's investors, bank accounts while operating the largest political **Dark Money** conduit system in the world.*

*- Google exchanged compensation with Elon Musk, Dianne Feinstein and political party executives in order to produce, and mass-media-distribute, character assassination smear videos, Gawker/Gizmodo/Jalopnik tabloid hatchet job articles and fake blog posts in front of seven billion people for over a decade, locked on the top line, of the front page, of all Google product search results. At the same time, Google hid, de-listed, server code limited, down-ranked and deleted all of our advertising and positive PR. Google received and exchanged over thirty million dollars of compensation in cash, contracts, services, revolving door jobs and other remuneration, not reported to the FEC, for these attacks.*

*- Domestic and foreign Intelligence agency experts, law enforcement experts, forensic specialists and Congressional advisers have acquired all of Google's emails, financial reports, off-shore transaction records and employee testimony proving these assertions...."*

How can Google/Alphabet get away with such misdeeds?

Because Google bought most of the politicians you have ever heard of. Christie Lee McNally is out to expose Google's "Mafia-like" operation through **Free Our Internet!**

Free Our Internet is a non-profit organization describing itself as an opponent of the "tech-left" and its political censorship of "conservative speech online."

McNally said: "They control over 90 percent of the internet, so they don't need to capitulate to us, they don't need to capitulate to the Senate [or] the president. They don't need to capitulate ... because they've bought them all. The amount they pay in lobbyists — if you look at FEC reports and how much they pay in lobbyists [and in] Washington, DC, they don't have to worry about legislation."

"Clearly they lied last month when they went up there," said McNally of Twitter and Facebook executives' denial of political censorship across their digital platforms during testimony before congressional committees.

Open Secrets itemized Google's lobbying spending via the technology company's FEC filings, with its most recent data coming from 2014.

The top recipient of Google's lobbying spending in 2014 was the Podesta Group...founded by Clinton loyalist and founder of the Center for American Progress and its subsidiary ThinkProgress.

Google (now part of parent company Alphabet) spent over \$18 million lobbying politicians in 2017, according to federal disclosure records. According to the Center for Responsive Politics, this is the first time a technology company has spent the most on lobbying costs in at least two decades. Google did not return TIME's request for comment about its lobbying activities.

Marlow described the threat to free speech and expression posed by large technology firms as "100 times the threat" compared with left-wing media outlets.