How The Wikipedia Master Troll Account "Philip Cross" Works To Push Propaganda

133,612 edits to (((Wikpedia))) have been made in the name of Philip Cross over 14 years (politics)

Source article

> That's over 30 edits per day, seven days a week. And I do not use that figuratively: Wikipedia edits are timed, and if you plot them, the timecard for "Philip Cross's" Wikipedia activity is astonishing is astonishing if it is one individual

https://kek.gg/i/7Px5Sd.png `PNG`

> the purpose of the "Philip Cross" operation is systematically to attack and undermine the reputations of those who are prominent in challenging the dominant corporate and state media narrative. particularly in foreign affairs. "Philip Cross" also systematically seeks to burnish the reputations of mainstream media journalists and other figures who are particularly prominent in pushing neo-con propaganda and in promoting the interests of Israel.

What is particularly interesting is that "Philip Cross"'s views happen to be precisely the same political views as those of Jimmy Wales, the founder of Wikipedia. Jimmy Wales has been on twitter the last few days `PNG` being

actively rude and unpleasant to anybody questioning the activities of Philip Cross. His commitment to Cross's freedom to operate on Wikipedia would be rather more impressive if the Cross operation were not promoting Wales' own opinions. Jimmy Wales has actively spoken against Jeremy Corbyn, supports the bombing of Syria, supports Israel, is so much of a Blairite he married Blair's secretary, and sits on the board of Guardian Media Group Ltd alongside Katherine Viner.

The extreme defensiveness and surliness of Wales' twitter responses on the "Philip Cross" operation is very revealing. Why do you think he reacts like this? Interestingly enough. Wikipedia's UK begging arm, Wikimedia UK, joined in with equal hostile responses to anyone questioning Cross.

Wikimedia had arrived uninvited into a twitter thread discussing the "Philip Cross" operation and had immediately started attacking people PNG questioning Cross's legitimacy. Basically openly datamining their opposition.

Just look at every single fucking plant on wikipedia. They actively censor out constituent lists and put in disinfo to protect the income of the pharmakikes. From the consistency of the edits, it is very obvious that "Philip Cross" is a (presumably state-level) group. From the response of Wales, it is obvious they are connected. Ergo, Wikipedia is totally compromised. (May still be news to some.)

Somebody already tattled PNG Here's the admin noticeboard post in question. PNG

Here's their donor list. Arcadia is Rothschild. Erasmus is Blackrock through Robert Torretti. Don't know about the others. Have fun digging into it if you want.

Wikipedia also shreds their logs PNG

George Galloway has offered a reward of £1,000 for the name and address of "Cross" so he may also take legal action.

http://archive.li/cwy8a

Happy Hunting.

8 Comments

Sort By: **New Bottom Intensity Old**

[–] **Guy_Justsome** **8** points (**+8|-0**) 13 hours ago

Pretty obvious from the enormous volume and broad timespan of editing that "Phillip Cross" is a globally coordinated group of people working out of multiple time zones.

As in "JIDF".

Permalink

> [–] **FatBruceWillis** **1** points (**+1|-0**) 10.1 hours ago
>
> Philip Cross == Political Corrector
>
> **Permalink**   **Parent**

[–] **icuntstopswearing** **5** points (**+5|-0**) 13 hours ago

Jewish "settlers" learning how to edit Wikipdia at a conference in Isreal...

https://vimeo.com/258774136 [Vimeo]

Permalink

[–] **beece** **3** points (**+3|-0**) 11.7 hours ago

The pentagon alone hires over 28,000 full time employees who's job is "PR". That includes changing wiki pages. There have been Wiki edit wars as differing sides have back edited each others edits. When folks looked up the IP addresses they were .gov. It's possible that they are masking ip addresss now.

Permalink

[–] **shadow332** [S] **2** points (**+2|-0**) 14 hours ago

Comapre these two entries:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_massacres_in_Israel

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_massacres_in_the_Palestinian_territories

Further reading:

Is Wikipedia an Establishment psyop?

Enyclopedia dramatica article on wikipedia

Permalink

[–] **shadow332** [S] **3** points (**+3**|**-0**) 14 hours ago

Just take a moment to see how ((Wikipedia))) can't even get their stories straight:

http://archive.is/DjZeH

Democratic National Committee cyber attacks

On December 9, 2016, the CIA told U.S. legislators the U.S. Intelligence Community concluded Russia conducted operations during the 2016 U.S. election to assist Donald Trump in winning the presidency.[6][41][42] Multiple U.S intelligence agencies concluded people with specific individuals tied to the Russian government gave WikiLeaks hacked emails from the Democratic National Committee (D.N.C.) and additional sources such as John Podesta, campaign chairman for Hillary Clinton.[6] These intelligence organizations additionally concluded Russia hacked the Republican National Committee (R.N.C.) as well as the D.N.C. — and chose not to leak information obtained from the R.N.C.[7]

http://archive.is/3vETY

2016 Democratic National Committee email leak

On December 9, 2016, the CIA told U.S. legislators the U.S. Intelligence Community concluded Russia conducted operations during the 2016 U.S. election to assist Donald Trump in winning the presidency.[15][60][61] Multiple U.S intelligence agencies concluded people with direct ties to the Kremlin gave WikiLeaks hacked emails from the DNC and additional sources such as John Podesta, campaign chairman for Hillary Clinton.[15] These[citation needed] intelligence organizations additionally concluded Russia attempted to hack the Republican National Committee (RNC) as well as the DNC but were prevented by security defenses on the RNC network.[62][63]

This shows that Wikipedia has no credibility at all

Most people just go on wikipedia to learn all about the topic and never try to learn from multiple sources. Not to mention the even larger number of people who don't get past the Google search page. PNG The real problem is that censorship schemes are far more pervasive than people realize. For example, talk radio has always been incredibly pervasively censored.

Permalink   **Parent**

[–] **HugeDouche** **0** points (**+0**|**-0**) 1.5 hours ago

Wiki is run by intelligence. They signal each other through it and make sure it reflects the bogus history they teach.

Permalink

[–] **derram** **-1** points (**+0**|**-1**) 14 hours ago

https://archive.fo/cwy8a :

> "Julian" (@Wikipedianhidin) on Twitter

This has been an automated message. JPG

Permalink